

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/575,804	04/13/2006	Jain Han	P70604US0 1GP	5250	
23378 7590 FOULT COMMINGS LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1819 FIFTH AVENUE NORTH BIRMINGHAM, AL 35203-2104			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			STAPLES, MARK		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/575,804	HAN, JAIN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARK STAPLES	1637	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

- WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed

- If NO - Failu Any	SUCCIDENT HIS From the distalling date of this communication and apply and set organ SUC(I) MCNH is from the making date of this communication. It is only only only only only only only only
Status	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposit	ion of Claims
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-37,69 and 79 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-37.69 and 79</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicat	ion Papers
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:
	1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage

application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Application/Control Number: 10/575,804 Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which

are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Group I, claims 1-37, drawn to methods for multiplex primer-based amplification in a reaction mixture comprising at least a first and second pair of target enrichment primers and at least a first pair of target amplification primers.

Group II, claims 69 and 70 drawn to methods of diagnosing and for differentially diagnosing the presence of a disease in a subject comprising providing a sample and isolating a nucleic acid containing a target sequence which is subject to multiplex primer-based amplification.

This International Searching Authority considers that the international application does not comply with the requirements of unity of invention (Rules 13.1, 13.2, 13.3) for the reasons indicated below:

The inventions listed as Groups I-II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature linking Groups I-II appears to be that they all relate to multiplexing amplification reactions. However, Chen (US 2003/0096277 published 22 May 2003) teaches multiplexing amplification reactions using primers.

Therefore, the technical feature linking the inventions of Groups I-II does not consitute a special technical feature as defined by PCT Rule 13.2, as it does not define a contribution over the prior art.

Accordingly, Groups I-II are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement

Application/Control Number: 10/575,804

Art Unit: 1637

may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Species Election/Restrictions

 This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. Application/Control Number: 10/575,804 Page 4

Art Unit: 1637

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following

Group | Subgroups

- 1. Concentration of target enrichment primers, Elect one of the following:
 - i. Target enrichment primers used at the same concentration (Claim 11).
 - ii. At least one of the target enrichment primers used at a higher concentration (Claim 12).
- 2. Concentration of target amplification primers, Elect one of the following:
 - i. Target amplification primers used at the same concentration (Claim 13).
 - ii. At least one of the target amplification primers used at a higher concentration (Claims 14 and 15).
- 3. Agent, Elect one of the following:
 - i. Virus (Claim 24 in part and claim 25).
 - ii. Bacteria (Claim 24 in part and claim 26).
- 4. Detection method, Elect one of the following:
 - i. Direct detection method (Claims 31-35).
 - ii. Indirect detection method (Claim 36)

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-10, 16-23, 27-30, 37, 69, and 70.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: each of the species

Application/Control Number: 10/575,804

Art Unit: 1637

within a subgroup is mutually exclusive from the other species and thus do not share the same or corresponding special technical feature.

Upon election of Group 1 Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species in each of the four subgroups (numbered 1. though 4.) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,804

Art Unit: 1637

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Close

 Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Staples whose telephone number is (571) 272-9053. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 9:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/575,804 Page 7

Art Unit: 1637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Staples/ Examiner Art Unit 1637 March 14, 2009